

A Characterization of Hering's Plane of Order 27

Oscar E. Barriga

Abstract. Hering's translation plane of order 27 has been characterized by its order and the fact that it admits $SL(2, 13)$ in its translation complement (see [1]). We show that, aside from the Desarguian plane and a Generalized André plane, it is the only plane of order 27 which admits a subgroup of $SL(2, 13)$ of order 13×12 .

1. Introduction

In section 2 we review the relevant subgroups of $SL(2, 13)$, $GL(3, 3)$ and $GL(6, 3)$. We show in proposition 1 that $GL(6, 3)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of order 13×12 isomorphic to a subgroup of $SL(2, 13)$ of the same order.

In section 3 we introduce three spread sets which admit a given group of order 13×12 . We prove in proposition 2 that there are no more such spread sets. The next lemma characterizes the planes arising from these spread sets and the following theorem is deduced:

Theorem. *The only translation planes of order 27 which admit a subgroup of order 13×12 , isomorphic to that of $SL(2, 13)$, in their translation complement are the Desarguian plane, a Generalized André plane and Hering's translation plane of order 27.*

Our notation is standard and we follow [2].

2. Subgroups of $SL(2, 13)$, $GL(3, 3)$ and $GL(6, 3)$

Since the order of the normalizer of a Sylow 13-subgroup of $SL(2, 13)$ is 13×12 , it follows that $SL(2, 13)$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of order

13×12 . One representative of this class is generated by the concrete matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As an abstract group it can be presented as

$$S = \langle h, c \mid h^{13} = c^{12} = 1, c^{-1}hc = h^{10} \rangle.$$

The Sylow 13-subgroup of $\text{GL}(3, 3)$ is of order 13. From the factorization

$$\begin{aligned} X^{13} - 1 &= (X - 1)(X^3 - 1)(X^3 - X - 1)(X^3 + X^2 + X - 1) \\ &\quad (X^3 + X^2 - 1)(X^3 - X^2 - X - 1) \end{aligned}$$

in irreducible factors over $\text{GF}(3)$, we see that there are four conjugacy classes of elements of order 13 in $\text{GL}(3, 3)$. We can choose h with minimal polynomial $X^3 - X - 1$ to generate the Sylow 13-subgroup of $\text{GL}(3, 3)$. In rational canonical form

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and if

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $|x| = 3$ and $x^{-1}hx = h^3$. We have that

$$N_{\text{GL}(3,3)}(\langle h \rangle) = [\langle -I \rangle \times \langle h \rangle] \rtimes \langle x \rangle.$$

The conjugacy classes of elements of order 13 are represented in $\langle h \rangle$ by h, h^2, h^4, h^8 .

A Sylow 13-subgroup of $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ is of order 13^2 and,

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h^i & 0 \\ 0 & h^j \end{pmatrix} \mid i, j = 0, \dots, 12 \right\}$$

being one them, it follows that every element of order 13 of $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ is conjugate to an element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} h^i & 0 \\ 0 & h^j \end{pmatrix}$. It can be deduced that $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ also has four conjugacy classes of elements of order 13 which are represented in T by

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then C is of order 12. Moreover if $H = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^4 \end{pmatrix}$ then $C^{-1}HC = H^{10}$ and the group $G = \langle H, C \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ isomorphic to S .

Proposition 1. *If G_0 is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ and G_0 is isomorphic to S , then G_0 is conjugate to G in $\text{GL}(6, 3)$.*

Proof. We may assume by Sylow's theorem that G_0 contains one of

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To see that the first three options cannot occur, we need only remark that if $ah^i = h^j a$ with any 3×3 matrix a over $\text{GF}(3)$ then $i = j = 0$ or $a = 0$ or a is invertible. In the latter case h^i is conjugate to h^j . If we had, for instance, $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \in G_0$ and if $C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{pmatrix}$ was such that

$$C_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}^{10}$$

then $Y(h^{10} - I) = (h - I)Z = 0$ and $hW = Wh^{10}$ would lead to $Y = Z = W = 0$, a contradiction. (Note that $-h$ generates a subgroup of $\text{GL}(6, 3)$ which is the multiplicative group of $\text{GL}(27)$ with the addition of matrices.) The same type of argument eliminates the other two and we may assume that $H \in G_0$.

Let $C_0 \in G_0$ be such that $C_0^{-1}HC_0 = H^{10}$, say $C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{pmatrix}$. Then we get $X = W = 0$ and $Y, Zx^{-2} \in C_{\text{GL}(3,3)}(h) = \langle -I \rangle \times \langle h \rangle$. This shows that there are 4×13^2 possibilities for C_0 in $\text{GL}(6, 3)$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^i & 0 \\ 0 & h^j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{9j} & 0 \\ 0 & h^i \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that C normalizes T , but C fixes no element of T . Therefore in the group $F = T \rtimes \langle C \rangle$, since T does not normalize $\langle C \rangle$ and no element of T centralizes $\langle C \rangle$, we have that $N_F(\langle C \rangle) = \langle C \rangle$. Thus $\langle C \rangle$ has 13^2 conjugates in F . Since both C and $-C$ conjugate H to H^{10} , this accounts for 2×13^2 of the possibilities and the proof would be finished if C_0 was one of these, as the conjugation of C to C_0 occurs within $F \subseteq N(\langle H \rangle)$. But this is indeed the case as multiplication by $J = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ leaves invariant the set of all 4×13^2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $Y, Zx^{-2} \in \langle -I \rangle \times \langle h \rangle$ and, if \tilde{C} is conjugate to C then $\tilde{C}^6 = -I$ while

$(J\tilde{C})^6 = I$. Thus the remaining 2×13^2 are not matrices of order 12, but are of order 6. This completes the proof.

3. Spreads admitting the group G

It is easy to see that $C_{GL(3,3)}(x) = \langle -I \rangle \times \langle x \rangle \times \langle w \rangle$ where w is an element of order 3 with minimal polynomial $(X - 1)^2$. If x is chosen as in 2 then we can put

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let V denote a 3-dimensional vector space over $GF(3)$. Then the following are the only three non-isomorphic spread sets on $V \oplus V$ which define planes admitting the group $G = \langle H, C \rangle$.*

- 1) $\Sigma_1 = \langle h \rangle x^2 \cup \langle h \rangle (-x^2)$
- 2) $\Sigma_2 = \langle h \rangle \cup \langle h \rangle (-x)$
- 3) $\Sigma_3 = \{(-1)^j h^i w^j x^2 h^{9i} \mid i = 0, \dots, 12; j = 1, 2\}$

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subseteq GL(V)$ be an arbitrary spread set defining a spread on $V \oplus V$ which admits the group G . By [2] the spread is of the form $\{(V, 0), (0, V)\} \cup \{(V, VM) \mid M \in \Sigma\}$. Since H fixes both $(V, 0)$ and $(0, V)$ while C interchanges them, it follows that $G = \langle H, C \rangle$ permutes $\{(V, VM) \mid M \in \Sigma\}$. Since H has no other proper invariant subspaces than $(V, 0)$ and $(0, V)$, it follows that H has two orbits of length 13 on $\{(V, VM) \mid M \in \Sigma\}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(V, VM) \mid M \in \Sigma\} = & \{(V, VM)H^i \mid i = 0, \dots, 12\} \cup \\ & \cup \{(V, VM')H^i \mid i = 0, \dots, 12\} \end{aligned}$$

where M, M' are chosen so that (V, VM) and (V, VM') are in the distinct orbits of H .

Since C normalizes $\langle H \rangle$ so does $C^4 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$, which is of order 3. Thus C^4 permutes the orbits of $\langle H \rangle$ and, since it fixes $(V, 0)$ and $(0, V)$, it must also fix the two other orbits. But then, being of order 3, it must fix at least one component in each. We may assume that these components are the $(V, VM), (V, VM')$ chosen above. On a fixed component C^4 fixes the origin and the point at infinity, therefore it fixes at least two of the remaining 26 points. It follows that C^4 is

planar and, since $6^2 + 6 > 27$, the order of the fixed subplane must be 3 and C^4 has no other fixed component than $(V, 0), (0, V), (V, VM), (V, VM')$. We conclude also that $M = x^{-1}Mx, M' = x^{-1}M'x$ and so $M, M' \in C_{GL(3,3)}(x)$.

Now C^3 is of order 4, it commutes with C^4 , thus it permutes the fixed elements of C^4 . Since it interchanges $(V, 0)$ and $(0, V)$, it must fix both $(V, VM), (V, VM')$ or interchanges them. From $(V, VM)C^3 = (V, VM)$ it follows that $M = -M^{-1}x$ which is a contradiction as $M^2 = -x$ would imply that M is an element of order 12 in $C_{GL(3,3)}(x)$. Since there is no such elements in $C_{GL(3,3)}(x)$ we conclude that $M' = -M^{-1}x$.

We have shown that the spread

$$\{(V, VM)H^i \mid i = 0, \dots, 12\} \cup \{(V, V(-M^{-1}x))H^i \mid i = 0, \dots, 12\}$$

is determined by $M \in C_{GL(3,3)}(x)$.

It is an easy calculation to see that for $M = \pm w, \pm w^2, \pm wx$ or $\pm w^2x$ we do not get a spread.

The possible spreads are then determined by the pairs $\{M, -M^{-1}x\} = \{-I, x\}, \{I, -x\}, \{x^2, -x^2\}, \{wx^2, -w^2x^2\}$ or $\{w^2x^2, -wx^2\}$.

The first two pairs produce isomorphic spreads, as can be seen using [2; 26], as the spread set given by $\{-I, x\}$ becomes that given by $\{I, x\}$ under the action of $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$. By the same result, applying $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$ to the spread defined by $\{wx^2, -w^2x^2\}$ and applying $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix}$ to that given by $\{w^2x^2, -wx^2\}$, the spreads coincide and the last two pairs produce isomorphic planes.

The spread Σ_1 is defined by $\{x^2, -x^2\}$, Σ_2 is defined by $\{I, -x\}$ and Σ_3 is defined by $\{w^2x^2, -wx^2\}$. The proof of the proposition will be completed once we establish that these are non-isomorphic. Both this and the proof of the theorem follow from the next lemma.

Lemma 3. *If Π_i denotes the plane defined by the spread set Σ_i then Π_1 is Desarguian, Π_2 is a generalized André plane and Π_3 is Hering's plane of order 27.*

Proof. Since

$$(V, Vh^i x^2) \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^9 \end{pmatrix} = (V, Vh^i x^2)$$

and

$$(V, Vh^i(-x^2)) \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^9 \end{pmatrix} = (V, Vh^i(-x^2)),$$

($i = 0, \dots, 12$), it follows that the kernel of the plane defined by Σ_1 contains a group isomorphic to $\langle h \rangle$. Since it also contains $-I$ and since $\langle h \rangle \times \langle -I \rangle \cup \{0\}$ is isomorphic to $\text{GF}(27)$ as a field, it follows that Π_1 is Desarguan [2].

The plane defined by Σ_2 does not admit $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$ as $(V, V(-h^j x)) \rightarrow (V, V(-h^k x^2))$ and $-h^k x^2 \notin \Sigma_2$. If

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

then a straightforward calculation shows that σ is an $((\infty), (V, 0))$ -homology of order 13, τ is a $((0), (0, V))$ -homology of order 13 and each component is in an orbit of length at most 13 under $\langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$. Since 13 is a primitive divisor of $3^3 - 1$, the plane defined by Σ_2 is a generalized André plane by [3; 5.2.1].

Finally, the spread defined by Σ_3 is invariant under

$$W = - \begin{pmatrix} xwu & x^2 w^2 u \\ x^2 w^2 u & -wu \end{pmatrix}$$

where u is an involution inverting x and commuting with w . If x, w are chosen as before, one can choose

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But then since $\langle W, H, C \rangle \cong \text{SL}(2, 13)$ as can be seen in [1], it follows from the same reference that the plane defined by Σ_3 is Hering's plane of order 27. This completes the proof of the lemma, the proposition and the theorem.

References

1. Barriga, O. and Pomareda, R., *The uniqueness of Hering's translation plane of order 27*, *Geometriae Dedicata* **19** (1985), 237-245.
2. Lüneburg, Heinz, "Translation planes," Springer Verlag, 1980.

3. Ostrom, T. G., "Finite translation planes," Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 158, Springer Verlag.

Oscar E. Barriga
Mathematics Department
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
USA